

Nutrient Assessment Framework

Discussion of Proposed Workplan

Purpose of Today's Discussion

- Discuss nutrient assessment workplan
- Provide your feedback, focused on process

Background and Context

- **McKee et al. (2011) literature review recommended a suite of indicators to assess adverse effects on Bay beneficial uses (BU), focused on subtidal habitat**
 - Phytoplankton
 - Nutrient forms and ratios
- **We need a decision framework that describes how to use these indicators to assess whether SF Bay BUs are protected**
 - Transparent
 - Supported by best available science

What is An Assessment Framework?

- **Decision support**
 - Transparent
 - Peer-reviewed
 - Capacity to evolve framework as science advances
 - Indicators, metrics & endpoints may differ by Bay segment or season
- **Key components**
 - Supported by SF Bay conceptual models
 - Specifies what to measure, temporal and spatial frequency in which those indicators/metrics should be measured
 - Specifies how to use data to categorize the Bay (or segments of the Bay) in “risk categories”
- **Assessment frameworks do not:**
 - Specify regulatory thresholds – that is a policy decision

SF Bay Nutrient Objectives: Two Major Technical Components

Assessment Framework

**Use Indicators to Assess
Status of Beneficial Use
Support**

**Load-Response
Model**

**Link indicators to
nutrients and other
management controls**

Nutrient Objectives

Concept Approach

- Use experts to craft assessment framework based on available science & best professional judgment
- Decision on regulatory endpoints is made by SF Water Board, with advice from stakeholders

Proposed Process to Develop Assessment Framework

- **Begin with conceptual models**
 - Identify indicators, linkages to beneficial uses at relevant spatial and temporal scales
- **Review available assessment frameworks**
 - White paper that synthesizes approaches, data required
- **Utilize those frameworks with existing SF Bay data (if available) to demonstrate applicability**
 - Inform decision-making
- **Utilize demo results, in tandem with conceptual models, to craft strawman framework with experts**
 - Demonstrate with existing data
- **Vete and refine assessment framework (...repeat)**

Who Are The Experts

- **International experts in assessment frameworks:**
 - Suzanne Bricker (NOAA)
 - William Dennison (University of Maryland)
- **Recruiting local experts in SF Bay nutrient biogeochemistry and eutrophication, but not limited to:**
 - Jim Cloern
 - Dick Dugdale
 - Raphe Kudela
 - Wim Kimmerer
 - Anke Mueller-Solger

Stakeholders Involved At Each Step in The Process

- **Workplan**
 - Focused on process
- **White paper**
 - Provide feedback before first expert workshop
- **Analysis of existing data**
 - Comments on data analysis plan
 - Feedback, comment on results
- **Draft assessment framework**
 - Comment on approach
 - Comment on substance in various drafts

Schedule

- Scoped currently as 2-yr project (October 2013- October 2014)
- Tasks:
 - Develop work plan (Fall 2012)
 - White paper and first expert workshop (Spring 2013)
 - Analysis of existing data (Fall 2013)
 - Create draft strawman assessment framework (Spring 2014)
 - Draft final assessment framework (Fall 2014)

Schedule of interim deliverables are approximate